Attested
A.R.(J) 9/9/05

Amendment incorporated as per Court's order dt. 6/9/05.

CF 29/-

Division Bench (Civil)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH, JABALPUR.

MISC.PETITION NO. 2212 OF 1991.

Dhruw Kumar Agrawal, s/o Gigraj Agrawal,
Aged 41 years, by occupation service,
employed in Central Bank of India at
Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (MP). --- PETITIONER.

Versus

1. The Chairman & Managing Director,
Central Bank of India, "Chander Mukhi"
Building, Nariman Point, BOMBAY- 400 021.
2. The Regional Manager, Central Bank of
India, Regional Office, Sansarchandra
Road, JAIPUR (Rajasthan).
3. Shri P.B.Mathur, care of The Regional
Manager, Central Bank of India,
Regional Office, Hotel Airlines Building
Civil Lines, KOTA (Rajasthan).
4. The Zonal Manager, Central Bank of
India, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg,
NEW DELHI- 110 001.
5. Shri S Seetharaman, Zonal Manager,
Central Bank of India, Bank Square,
Sector 17-B, CHANDIGARH (UT)-160 017. --- RESPONDENTS.

-.-

Petition under Articles 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India for quashing the Order dated 24.3.1987 passed by Respondent No.2 and Order dated 20/7/1988 passed by Respondent No.4 in Appeal.

-.-

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE SHRI S K JHA AND HIS COMPANION HON'BLE JUDGES OF THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH :

Attested

Advocate

Dhruw Kumar Agrawal,
Jhunjhanuwala ~~x~~ Colony,
Darri Road, Korba (Chhattisgarh)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.NO.2212/1991 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	03-12-2005 Petitioner in person is not present. Shri B.D.Guru, learned counsel for the respondents. On 06-09-2005, petitioner in person is present and S.P.C. was directed to be issued to the respondents' of connected appeals W.P.Nos. 2901/1991, 3391/1991, 2917/1991 and 4116/1991 along with the present petition i.e. W. P. No. 2212/1991 returnable within four weeks. Today, the matter was called for hearing twice; neither petitioner in person appeared nor any representation was made even in the second round. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed for want of prosecution. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Tumane

[पीछे देखिये